7 and 8. Where are they, Adam? 1 MR. BROWN: It's a poll book page 2 and the application. 3 MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Brown. 4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, 5 Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. 6 As we've seen earlier in a precinct in 7 Vanderburgh, this is another early vote --8 absentee walk-in application that was not 9 signed. We would just ask for consistency in 10 invalidating this ballot. That's Exhibit 11 Number 7. And then the poll book page where 12 the person did not sign in on Election Day or 13 provide any other proof is -- is also 14 attached as Exhibit Number 8. So as before, 15 we feel there is a requirement to sign the 16 application. As was established, there's no 17 procedure in Vanderburgh County that would 18 show this person presented a valid ID. 19 Again, we would ask for consistency and ask 20 that the Commission vote to remove this 21 Thank you. ballot. 22 MR. CHAIRMAN: Counsel. 23 MR. BROOKS: Well, we would 24 25 incorporate our arguments as before with

respect to this, and that is in particular, the -- the procedure for challenging an absentee ballot is when -- an application is when the absentee ballot comes in, and that is what the statutory provision is for. at a loss as to what the statutory provision is for if -- if it says if you file an absentee application -- application for absentee ballot and it says the process for challenging is for somebody to fill out an affidavit and then even further under the statute to require a vote of the local board in order to reject an application. the -- it seems to me that the interpretation that you can fail to use that process, send somebody a ballot and then after the election, say, oh, we shouldn't have sent you a ballot, what meaning is left for the process to challenge an absentee application? It seems to me that by saying you can do that, you might as well just write off that entire section. I don't know what meaning is left to the section if you -- if you don't follow that procedure. I mean, if you think about it, it just --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

| - | |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Not every race |
| 2 | is |
| 3 | MR. BROOKS: Pardon? |
| 4 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Not every race is |
| 5 | recounted, though. |
| 6 | MR. BROOKS: Pardon? |
| 7 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Not every race is |
| 8 | counted, though. |
| 9 | MR. BROOKS: Erase? |
| 10 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Not every race |
| 11 | MR. BROOKS' CO-COUNSEL: Is |
| 12 | recounted. |
| 13 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Not every race is |
| 14 | recounted. |
| 15 | MR. BROOKS: Well, I understand, |
| 16 | but that but but I don't understand |
| 17 | if if the exclusive challenge to I |
| 18 | mean, you're we're supposed to be counting |
| 19 | ballots and not only not counting them if |
| 20 | there's some very few exceptions, if you |
| 21 | can tell the voter's intent, which is section |
| 22 | one. The voter's intent is obvious on the |
| 23 | on the ballot themselves when they come back. |
| 24 | That's their voter intent. And there's not |
| 25 | an exception to that, number 1, 12 |
| | |

| 1 | 3-12-1-1. There's not an exception to the |
|----|---|
| 2 | vote looking to the voter's intent for |
| 3 | failure to sign a registration, so so |
| 4 | you |
| 5 | MR. CHAIRMAN: How do you |
| 6 | MR. BROOKS: there's not an |
| 7 | exception. |
| 8 | MR. CHAIRMAN: How do you know |
| 9 | from the argument you're making or from the |
| 10 | evidence presented and maybe you'll get to |
| 11 | this |
| 12 | MR. BROOKS: Pardon? |
| 13 | MR. CHAIRMAN: or maybe you'll |
| 14 | get to this that a real person even |
| 15 | appeared? |
| 16 | MR. BROOKS: Well, because of the |
| 17 | the testimony that you have from the clerk |
| 18 | that here's their process and here's what |
| 19 | they do. They check the voter ID's. They |
| 20 | they go through the whole process that |
| 21 | they're supposed to. |
| 22 | MR. CHAIRMAN: In this particular |
| 23 | case |
| 24 | MR. BROOKS: This person |
| 25 | MR. CHAIRMAN: with this |

ballot -- I'll let you finish up and then 1 I'm --2 MR. BROOKS: Okav. 3 MR. CHAIRMAN: -- going to have 4 5 some questions. MR. BROOKS: Yeah. I mean, this 6 -- well, okay. Let me make -- oh. 7 going to say, the logical extension of this 8 is that now -- if -- if some clerk or a 9 clerk's employee, every time a -- if a 10 Republican comes -- if you have a Republican 11 clerk and a Republican employee and every 12 time they see a Democrat come in they say 13 let's not hand them this thing, let's let 14 them go vote and then they're not going to --15 we'll catch them later because you can ask 16 17 for a recount, you have -- you would have -you could theoretically have an entire 18 precinct lost because nobody -- nobody handed 19 the voter this -- this piece of paper for a 20 signature. And, again, I think if we go --21 MR. CHAIRMAN: Except that the 22 Democrat on the other side of that would make 23 sure that didn't happen. 24 MR. BROOKS: Well, apparently it 25

does happen. It does happen, and if -- if they're -- if in -- in several cases that we've seen now, you've got some bipartisan -- who knows who was responsible in particular, but these were all bipartisan boards. It happens. So you -- so if one is not paying attention and you're just not handing them in, I guess --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHAIRMAN: But your example just stated that the whole precinct could get disenfranchised.

Yeah, it could. MR. BROOKS: Ι mean, basically -- basically you're allowing people to send the ballots, invite them to vote and not correct the situation. my absentee ballot application is invalid for some reason, I as a voter should be able to take comfort in the section that says somebody is going to have to challenge it and then the local board is going to have to vote in a public proceeding by definition, then I know that I can go vote live on Election Day. I can redo the application. I've got all sorts of options. It's just incredible that you could miss this whole process of

challenging, lead somebody to believe they 1 were -- leading them to believe they've cast 2 a legal ballot and then after the election 3 say it can't be cast. 4 MR. CHAIRMAN: Duly noted. 5 The opinion of my -- of our counsel up 6 7 here is we can look into this, so we are. Anything else? Are you done, 8 9 Mr. Brooks? MR. BROOKS: Yes. 10 MR. CHAIRMAN: Questions. 11 MR. DURNIL: Mr. Brooks, as I 12 understood what the clerk said a while ago, 13 this form wouldn't be completed if the voter 14 The voter comes in and says: 15 wasn't there. My name is X-X and they then -- the clerk 16 17 goes to the -- the computer and completes this form. Is that right? 18 19 MR. CHAIRMAN: Right. MR. DURNIL: And then supposedly 20 it's handed over for the signature and both 2.1 of them initial it, the Republican and 22 Democrat initial it. It's not the voter's 23 fault. 24 MR. BROOKS: Well, I think that's 25

1 an outstanding point, Mr. Chairman. got two initials saying that this person was 2 3 These are the same people who went through the process that Ms. Kirk described. 4 5 So you've got two people saying this person was here and I'm now okaying this, and if you 6 -- to get to this point, they've had to show ID under the process, and that's what they're 8 9 attesting to. These initials are attesting to the fact that they went through that 10 11 process. I don't understand why we would believe -- the poll book, for example, 12 13 Mr. Chairman --MR. CHAIRMAN: I'd like -- I'd 14 like to ask Counsel --15 MR. BROOKS: -- how do you know 16 17 that person is real? MR. CHAIRMAN: I'd like to ask 18 19 Counsel what the legal meaning of those -those initials are --20 21 MR. BROOKS: Presumably --MR. CHAIRMAN: -- because it's 22 23 been alleged that the purpose of these initials is to attest to and otherwise verify 24 25 the process that Susan Kirk testified to

1 earlier. Brad. 2 MS. BARNES: Mr. Chairman. 3 MR. KING: Thank you, 4 Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. 5 Presuming that the initials in this 6 case are those of the bipartisan Absentee 7 Voter Board, the initials would indicate that 8 9 the application has been approved and that pursuant to statute, an absentee ballot was 10 then issued to the applicant, it constitutes 11 approval of the qualifications of the voter 12 in requesting the absentee ballot. 13 MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 14 Counsel. 15 MS. BARNES: Thank you, 16 Mr. Chairman. 17 That may be what the Commission decides 18 19 to interpret those initials to mean, but there's no statutory provision that requires 20 21 the absentee board members to initial the application upon approval. That may be 22 something that the county does, but it's not 23 required by state statue and there's no legal 24 25 significance to those initials.

1 MR. CHAIRMAN: Do -- do you agree with that, Brad? 2 MR. KING: No, Mr. Chairman, I 3 don't. 5 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okav. MR. KING: It's true that there's 6 no requirement for the County Election Board 7 or the Absentee Voter Board to indicate its 8 approval by initials, but the County Election 9 Board is required to either approve or reject 10 11 an absentee ballot application, and if, in fact, the initials on this particular 12 document are those of the Absentee Voter 13 Board members, then the board can consider 14 whether that constitutes evidence that the 15 application was approved following the 16 17 demonstration that the applicant has met the 18 requirements. 19 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. Ouestions from Commissioners. 20 21 Discussion. Motions. 22 23 MR. KUZMAN: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to -- to move that, as we did in Perry 24 -- I believe it was Perry 1, that this vote 25

| 1 | is not counted. |
|----|----------------------------------|
| 2 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. |
| 3 | Discussion. |
| 4 | I agree with the motion. |
| 5 | All in favor? |
| 6 | MR. KUZMAN: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Aye. |
| 8 | Opposed? |
| 9 | MR. DURNIL: Nay. |
| 10 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries two |
| 11 | to one. |
| 12 | Mr. Brown, anything else there? |
| 13 | MR. BROWN: No further disputes |
| 14 | in that precinct. Thank you. |
| 15 | MR. CHAIRMAN: And that was |
| 16 | MR. SKOLNIK: That was Perry 6. |
| 17 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Perry 7? |
| 18 | MR. DURNIL: 6. |
| 19 | MR. SKOLNIK: 6. |
| 20 | MR. CHAIRMAN. 6. |
| 21 | Mr. Brooks. |
| 22 | MR. BROOKS: We withdraw ours if |
| 23 | we had any. |
| 24 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. |
| 25 | Mr. Skolnik. |
| | |

| 1 | MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, |
|----|---|
| 2 | again, it's my understanding that because of |
| 3 | the Commission's action that I am not able to |
| 4 | provide a tally in this precinct unless we're |
| 5 | able to determine who that voter which |
| 6 | candidate, if any, that that voter cast his |
| 7 | or her vote. |
| 8 | MR. CHAIRMAN: That's correct. |
| 9 | So Perry 6 is open. |
| 10 | MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, we |
| 11 | now proceed to Perry Number 7. The State |
| 12 | Board of Accounts tally reflected 297 votes |
| 13 | for Mr. Deig, 411 for Ms. McNamara. There |
| 14 | were 11 no votes. There are no disputed |
| 15 | ballots listed on the State Board of Accounts |
| 16 | tally, but I assume this is one that |
| 17 | Mr. Brown had indicated. |
| 18 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Brown. |
| 19 | MR. BROWN: We withdraw any |
| 20 | disputes that |
| 21 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. |
| 22 | MR. BROWN: we have in |
| 23 | Perry 7. |
| 24 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Disputes withdrawn |
| 25 | in Perry 7. Thank you. |

Mr. Brooks doesn't have any. 1 2 MR. BROOKS: We don't have any. MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, 3 therefore, the final tally in Perry 7 should 4 reflect 297 for Mr. Deig, 411 for 5 Ms. McNamara and 11 no votes. 6 7 MR. BROOKS: Oh, Mr. Chairman, can I make a comment about this just for the 8 9 record. MR. CHAIRMAN: Perry 7? 10 MR. BROOKS: Yes. 11 MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. 12 MR. BROOKS: This is a precinct 13 where the certified results based --14 indicated that there was one additional vote 15 for Wendy McNamara, and it's an example of 16 when the hard ballots were actually counted 17 that we were just short one ballot. So 18 compared to the certified result, there is 19 simply a missing ballot. So at that point we 2.0 21 just have to accept it's a manual count and there's not a ballot to count. That also 22 happened in 6-7. And as we move forward, I 23 just wish to ask that the Commission to keep 24 25 in mind that these things happen. We've been

| 1 | we've suffered through two that we knew |
|----|---|
| 2 | were were Wendy McNamara votes and the |
| 3 | ballots are just missing. That's all I have |
| 4 | to say just for the record. |
| 5 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| 6 | Mr. Skolnik. |
| 7 | MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, |
| 8 | again, I think I provided the Commission with |
| 9 | the tally in Perry 7. |
| 10 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Perry 8? |
| 11 | MR. SKOLNIK: Is there a motion |
| 12 | to |
| 13 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Do you approve |
| 14 | Perry |
| 15 | MR. DURNIL: Did he provide a |
| 16 | tally? |
| 17 | MR. SKOLNIK: You provide I |
| 18 | provided the tally. |
| 19 | MR. DURNIL: Okay. |
| 20 | MR. SKOLNIK: I don't know if the |
| 21 | Commission adopted to final it. |
| 22 | MR. DURNIL: Okay. |
| 23 | MR. SKOLNIK: I don't think it |
| 24 | did. |
| 25 | MR. DURNIL: That was the 297 and |

| 1 | 411? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. SKOLNIK: Yes. 297 for |
| 3 | Mr. Deig, 411 for Ms. McNamara and 11 |
| 4 | MR. DURNIL: I move we |
| 5 | MR. SKOLNIK: no votes. |
| 6 | MR. DURNIL: I move we accept the |
| 7 | tally as final. |
| 8 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion and second. |
| 9 | All in favor. |
| 10 | Aye. |
| 11 | MR. KUZMAN: Aye. |
| 12 | MR. DURNIL: Aye. |
| 13 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Ayes are |
| 14 | unanimous. |
| 15 | Mr. Skolnik. |
| 16 | MR. SKOLNIK: We now proceed to |
| 17 | Perry Number 8. The State Board of Accounts |
| 18 | tally reflected 172 votes for Mr. Deig, 220 |
| 19 | for Ms. McNamara and 12 no votes tallied by |
| 20 | the State Board of Accounts. |
| 21 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Brown. |
| 22 | MR. BROWN: We withdraw any |
| 23 | disputes remaining in this precinct. |
| 24 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Any disputes from |
| 25 | Mr. Brown are now withdrawn. Thank you. |
| | |

| ٦ | Mar Danala anathian |
|----|---|
| 1 | Mr. Brooks, anything? |
| 2 | MR. BROOKS: I'm sorry? |
| 3 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Anything there for |
| 4 | you? |
| 5 | MR. BROOKS: None. We have no |
| 6 | disputes. |
| 7 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Skolnik. |
| 8 | MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, the |
| 9 | tally in Perry 8 should reflect 172 votes for |
| 10 | Mr. Deig, 220 for Ms. McNamara and there were |
| 11 | 12 no votes. |
| 12 | MR. DURNIL: I move we accept the |
| 13 | tally as final. |
| 14 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. |
| 15 | All in favor. |
| 16 | Aye. |
| 17 | MR. KUZMAN: Aye. |
| 18 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Any opposed? |
| 19 | None. |
| 20 | Motions carries unanimously. |
| 21 | MR. SKOLNIK: Turning our |
| 22 | attention to Perry Number 9, the State Board |
| 23 | of Accounts tally reflected 125 votes for |
| 24 | Mr. Deig, 147 for Ms. McNamara. There were |
| 25 | two disputed valid ballots tallied by the |
| | |

| State Board of Accounts for Ms. McNamara and |
|--|
| there are ten no votes tallied by the State |
| Board of Accounts. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| Mr. Brown. |
| MR. BROWN: Yes. Exhibit Number |
| I believe it's Number 2. Perry 9, |
| Exhibit 2. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Perry 9, Exhibit |
| 2. |
| MR. BROWN: And, Mr. Chairman, |
| while they're handing those out, I'm also |
| going to submit the Absentee Activity Report |
| from this precinct as an exhibit. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Without |
| objections. |
| Okay. |
| MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, I've |
| just been handed by Mr. Brown what will be |
| identified as Exhibit P8. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: P8. Oh, wait. |
| Counsel is telling me we already have a P8. |
| MR. SKOLNIK: Okay. Well, we'll |
| mark this as Exhibit P9 just to remove any |
| any uncertainty. |
| |

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: As you can see,
Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission,
this voter signed their ballot. The Absentee
Activity Report I submitted as an exhibit
shows that it looks like Robert Seibert is
the one -- I'm not a handwriting expert, but
it looks to be Robert Seibert, and that
person shows up on the activity report, and,
therefore, we request under 312-110, which
was used earlier to invalidate a ballot
signed by the confined voter in a different
precinct, the same statute be ruled on and
the Commission rule in a consistent manner

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Thank you.

Counsel. Mr. Brooks.

that this vote be invalidated.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chairman, we agree that the -- the voter writes his name on a ballot that it does not not be counted, and that's what happened if you recall in the one we opened. The difference here, though, is that you looked at the signature which was in the privacy envelope and saw the name.

What is missing in Mr. Brown's argument here

is that that is, in fact, Mr. Seibert or whatever his name is, that's his signature as opposed to, for example, somebody knowing who he is is a poll worker and a poll worker writing the name on there.

So we've got a missing element here, is that you don't know that that's his handwriting and that the voter did it as opposed to it, and so we would request that in the absence of that absent evidence that Mr. Brown has not carried his burden of proof.

MR. CHAIRMAN: All right. So I'm not going to not allow Mr. Brown to address that. Are there other exhibits here for us to look at or not?

MR. BROWN: There are no other exhibits, Mr. Chairman. I just went through almost all thousand pages of the '06 recount where this Commission invalided ballots with signatures on them upon a finding that the voter was registered and voted in that precinct. That's what that Absentee Activity Report shows you. They voted by a paper ballot in this precinct and the -- the name

| 1 | seems to match the signature. And, in fact, |
|----|---|
| 2 | at one point in the '06 recount, there were |
| 3 | simply initials and the only only burden |
| 4 | required by the Commission at that point was |
| 5 | to show there was at least one voter in the |
| 6 | in the district that had in the |
| 7 | precinct that had those initials. We're |
| 8 | doing more than that; we're showing you |
| 9 | there's a voter that correctly corresponds to |
| 10 | the signature, so I believe we have carried |
| 11 | our burden of proof and would urge you to |
| 12 | invalidate the ballot. |
| 13 | MR. CHAIRMAN: And you can't |
| 14 | produce Robert's signature through the poll |
| 15 | book or anything else or |
| 16 | MR. DURNIL: Or the envelope. |
| 17 | MR. CHAIRMAN: or the envelope |
| 18 | or was it in person? |
| 19 | MR. DURNIL: It says mailed. |
| 20 | (Off the record - Counsel |
| 21 | conferring) |
| 22 | MR. CHAIRMAN: The activity |
| 23 | reports says the mail. |
| 24 | MR. BROWN: Unfortunately, I |
| 25 | don't believe we made an exhibit of the |
| | |

application. I believe it's in the State
Board of Accounts materials. If the
Commission will allow it, we would ask that
they look at the mail-in applications, which
I believe it is because it's a paper ballot,
and see if -- determine if Mr. Seibert did,
in fact, send in a signed secrecy envelope.
I apologize for the inconvenience.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BROOKS: We would object to I mean, there are certain situations that. where the recounts I've been involved in have gone back to look for something, but -- but the whole idea in a -- in a counting, for example, because we couldn't tell for sure from the State Board of Accounts whether or not it was counted or not, that's one thing. It's a little different to open this back up because Mr. Brown failed to get the evidence and make the exhibits; otherwise, what's the point of closing up the precinct if we can say, oh, I wish I had made another exhibit. I think at this point I wish I might have made a lot of exhibits and done a lot of vote checking on people who didn't sign applications, but we didn't. We're not

asking the Commission to go back in and let's 1 look for some more that don't have these 2 signatures. So we object. I mean, he had 3 every opportunity to make -- make this case 4 and tie it up and didn't do it. 5 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. 6 Ouestions from Commissioners. Discussion. 8 9 Motion. MR. KUZMAN: I'd like to make a 10 motion that we get this from the State Board 11 of Accounts, the application to see the 12 13 signature. MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. 14 Discussion. 15 MR. DURNIL: The motion was what? 16 MR. KUZMAN: To have the State 17 Board -- to have the State Board of Accounts 1.8 look at the signatures to see if they -- if 19 they are the same signatures. 20 MR. CHAIRMAN: All in favor of 21 the motion. 22 23 Aye. MR. KUZMAN: Aye. 24 25 MR. DURNIL: Aye.

| 1 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Would the State Board find that, |
| 3 | please. Thank you. |
| 4 | Discussion. |
| 5 | Motions. |
| 6 | MR. KUZMAN: I move that we |
| 7 | invalidate this ballot. |
| 8 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. |
| 9 | Any discussion. |
| 10 | All in favor signify by saying, "Aye." |
| 11 | MR. KUZMAN: Aye. |
| 12 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Aye. |
| 13 | MR. DURNIL: Aye. |
| 14 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries |
| 15 | unanimously. |
| 16 | Mr. Brown. |
| 17 | MR. BROWN: We withdraw any |
| 18 | further disputes in Perry 9. |
| 19 | Mr. Brooks, anything there? |
| 20 | MR. BROOKS: If we have any more, |
| 21 | we withdraw. Oh, I'm sorry. Let's look at |
| 22 | it. |
| 23 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Perry 9. Yeah, |
| 24 | you don't have anything. |
| 25 | MR. SKOLNIK: Are we looking |

| 1 | at |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. KUZMAN: Did you did you |
| 3 | throw this out? |
| 4 | MR. CHAIRMAN: We invalidated the |
| 5 | ballot. |
| 6 | MR. BROOKS: I have no |
| 7 | challenges. We withdraw them if we did. |
| 8 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. |
| 9 | Mr. Skolnik. |
| 10 | MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, the |
| 11 | tally in Perry 9 reflects 125 votes for |
| 12 | Mr. Deig, 146 votes for Ms. McNamara and |
| 13 | there are ten no votes. |
| 14 | MR. DURNIL: I move we accept the |
| 15 | tally. |
| 16 | MR. SKOLNIK: Excuse me. There |
| 17 | should be one would there be one invalid |
| 18 | ballot |
| 19 | MR. DURNIL: I didn't see that. |
| 20 | MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, |
| 21 | one that was thrown out? That's been ruled |
| 22 | invalid. There will be one invalid for |
| 23 | McNamara. So let me repeat that just so |
| 24 | we're clear. |
| 25 | 125 votes for Mr. Deig, 146 for |

| 1 | Ms. McNamara, one invalid ballot for |
|----|--|
| 2 | Ms. McNamara and ten no votes. |
| 3 | MR. DURNIL: I move we accept the |
| 4 | tally as final. |
| 5 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. |
| 6 | All in favor? |
| 7 | MR. KUZMAN: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. DURNIL: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Aye. |
| 10 | Motion carries unanimously. |
| 11 | Mr. Skolnik. |
| 12 | MR. SKOLNIK: We now turn our |
| 13 | attention to Perry Number 10. The State |
| 14 | Board of Accounts tally reflected that there |
| 15 | were 87 votes tallied for Mr. Deig, 96 for |
| 16 | Ms. McNamara and there were four no votes. |
| 17 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Brown. |
| 18 | MR. BROWN: Thank you, |
| 19 | Mr. Chairman and members of the Committee. |
| 20 | The exhibit number is Exhibits 1 and 2. |
| 21 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Exhibits 1 and 2. |
| 22 | MR. SKOLNIK: And it's Perry 10. |
| 23 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Perry 10. Okay. |
| 24 | MR. BROWN: Exhibit 2 you'll see |
| 25 | is a provisional ballot. The voter was |

1 was required to show ID at the polling location and he later came in and showed that 2 3 The County Election Board on the 12th decided not to count the ballot after hearing 4 5 evidence of residency. And we would like to submit an affidavit by this voter's roommate, 6 as well as -- I'll let opposing counsel see 7 the affidavit. 8 9 Mr. Chairman, we're also going to submit exhibits for checks that correspond to 1.0 the address of the voter as well as the voter 11 registration, as well as -- well, that would 12 13 be it, the pay stubs and the voter registration. 14 MR. SKOLNIK: I've just been 15 handed an exhibit by -- a proposed exhibit by 16 17 Mr. Brown that will be marked as Exhibit P10. MR. BROWN: I'm sorry. One last 18 19 exhibit, an e-mail between the roommates concerning -- actually, this one is from the 20 21 voter concerning utility payments made at the address. 22 23 MR. BROOKS: What does this mean, Adam (indicating)? 24 25 MR. BROWN: We'll withdraw this

1 one. This one is too confusing. 2 MR. SKOLNIK: Are you withdrawing 3 this (indicating)? MR. BROWN: Just -- just the one. 4 5 Those exhibits are still good. MR. SKOLNIK: Oh, okay. 6 7 MR. BROWN: Sorry, Mr. Chairman. I'm a bit weary at this point, so I'm sorry. 9 I'm trying to gather myself. This voter registered and was -- had a 10 valid registration, and, in fact, at the 11 Election Board meeting, that wasn't even 12 13 disputed. The dispute was on his residency. This voter came in and showed a passport as 14 was required and we believe his vote should 15 be counted. And we have an affidavit from 16 17 his roommate; we have pay stubs. He was here for nearly nine -- I mean, since early in 1.8 this year. The statutory requirement is 30 19 In fact, the constitution requires 30 20 days. 2.1 days residency in the precinct before the election day. And you'll hear arguments from 22 opposing counsel about intent to come back to 23 a home. This person left for work, earned a 24 25 paycheck, came back to that location for nine

1 months in a row, but somehow, even though we have statutes in our Indiana code to allow 2 homeless people to vote, somehow this 3 person's residency was at issue. We just 4 5 don't believe it was fair. This voter did everything they needed to do to -- to vote 6 7 and we feel it should be counted. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank vou. 8 9 Counsel. MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, sorry 10 to interrupt. You have been -- Mr. Brown has 11 provided Exhibits P11 through 18 that have 12 13 been handed to the Commission. MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 14 15 Counsel. MR. BROOKS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 We believe the Vanderburgh County Local 17 Board was absolutely correct in not opening 18 this provisional ballot for a multitude of 19 reasons. First, the issue came up because 20 21 the -- on the poll list, which is part of the exhibit thing -- do you have the poll page in 22 23 that? This is a multiple-page exhibit. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah. 24 25 MR. BROOKS: So you have that?

MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Is that 1 this (indicating)? 2 The poll MR. BROOKS: No, no. 3 book page. 4 MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah. 5 MR. BROOKS: Okay. As you'll see 6 on -- for Mr. Knowling, it says: Affirmation 7 of residence required. 8 Mr. Knowling did not provide at the 9 poll any affirmation of residence required; 10 that is, he didn't produce anything that had 11 an address where he was registered at. So he 12 was properly challenged based on the poll 13 I think the -- the affidavits and the 14 privacy envelopes all indicate that he didn't 15 show proper residence. He filled out the 16 provisional affirmation and had the requisite 17 ten days to come in and show something with 18 an address on it. He didn't do it. He came 19 in and he showed a passport. The passport 20 21 has no residence, so it did not satisfy the affirmation of residence required. 22 one level. That particular statute is one 23 that applies to mail-in ballots, and at this 24 25 point I would ask Connie to come up and

testify for a moment? 1 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. 2 Yes, 3 Thank you. please. CONNIE CARRIER 4 being first duly sworn upon her oath, testified 5 as follows: 6 7 MR. CHAIRMAN: Please be seated and state your name for the record. 8 9 you. THE WITNESS: Connie Carrier. 10 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 MR. BROOKS: 13 0 Before we get too far, do you have the card? 14 Α Oh, yes. Would you please tell the Commission your 15 Q title and job duties with Vanderburgh County. 16 17 Α I'm the Republican board member in Vanderburgh County. 18 19 0 Okay. And did you happen to bring -- well, let me just ask you, was this registration 20 21 one by mail? 22 Α Yes. 23 Q And if it was one by mail and that determination was made at the time the 24 application came in, then that would have 25

| 1 | | triggered this affirmation of residence |
|----|--------|---|
| 2 | | required? |
| 3 | А | It's because the acknowledgement card came |
| 4 | | back. |
| 5 | Q | Okay. Have you had an opportunity to examine |
| 6 | | the original voter registration card? |
| 7 | А | Sure did. |
| 8 | Q | And what does that tell you about whether or |
| 9 | | not it was mailed in or done in person? |
| 10 | A | The date he signed it was 9.26.2010. That |
| 11 | | was a Sunday. We don't work on Sundays. |
| 12 | Q | So you would not have been able to do that in |
| 13 | | person at the office? |
| 14 | A | No. |
| 15 | Q | Okay. And is there anything else about that |
| 16 | | card that |
| 17 | A | There's a crease where it had been folded. |
| 18 | Q | Are there creases on and fold marks for |
| 19 | | in-person restorations? |
| 20 | A | No. |
| 21 | | MR. BROOKS: That's the only |
| 22 | | question I have for Connie. |
| 23 | | MR. CHAIRMAN: Thanks. |
| 24 | | Cross. |
| 25 | MR. BE | ROWN: |
| | 1 | |

407

```
Connie, do you allow your voter registration
1
        0
2
            applications to be printed on-line?
            Do I allow it?
3
        Α
            I mean, is it --
4
        Q
5
            The state does.
        Α
            -- allowed in Vanderburgh County.
6
        Q
            The state does.
7
        Α
            And so could someone print it off, sign and
        Q
            date it and bring it in the next day?
9
            If -- do you mean on-line voter registration?
10
        A
            It's a different printout.
11
            Than the one you have there?
12
        0
13
        Α
            Yes.
                   So there's no way that form could get
14
        0
            that date on it?
15
            No. It's handwritten.
16
        Α
                        MR. BROWN: Could I -- could I
17
            see the form, please. I haven't seen it.
18
                        MR. CHAIRMAN: Please approach.
19
                        THE WITNESS: You have a copy of
20
21
            it.
                        MR. BROWN: Thank you.
22
            So these forms, where -- where are these
23
        Q
            forms available?
24
            They're available on-line through the Indiana
25
        Α
```

State Election Division. You can register to 1 vote on-line, but that is a different form 2 when it's printed out. 3 Those forms -- that particular form is not Q 4 available anywhere else? 5 On-line. Α 6 7 No, no, no. Not the actual -- I meant the --Q you said there was no way that form could 8 have been printed off-line because it's a 9 different -- it's -- it looks different and 10 somehow it's distinguishable. What I'm 11 saying is, voter registration drives have 12 those forms as well or --13 You can tell this -- in the -- in the -- on A 14 the bottom part here, it's a 50 -- 504. 15 That's an internet printout. It was printed 16 17 out and mailed in. Well, wait a minute. I'm confused now. 18 Q You said it was not printed on -- it 19 was not printed from on-line. I don't 20 understand. I just asked you whether the --21 the form -- so that form was printed on-line. 22 23 Α According to the number, it was. Okay. So we don't -- I mean, we don't have 24 Q 25 an envelope to go with it; we have no way of

| Ī | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | | knowing the voter did not bring the form in |
| 2 | | the day after he signed it? |
| 3 | А | According to the original form here, there's |
| 4 | | a crease like it's been folded and mailed. |
| 5 | Q | But but it also could be that the voter |
| 6 | | folded it and put it in his pocket? |
| 7 | А | I doubt that very much. It's not wrinkled. |
| 8 | Q | Okay. Well, absent your observations about |
| 9 | | the fold, are there any other is there any |
| 10 | | other evidence this voter did not register in |
| 11 | | person? |
| 12 | A | We we are not open on Sundays. |
| 13 | Q | Okay. Well, it was dated okay. Thank |
| 14 | | you. |
| 15 | | MR. BROWN: I have no further |
| 16 | | questions. |
| 17 | | MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| 18 | | Mr. Brooks, continue with your |
| 19 | | argument. |
| 20 | | MR. BROOKS: No. I'm finished |
| 21 | | with that witness and that part of our |
| 22 | | argument. |
| 23 | | The first argument |
| 24 | | MR. CHAIRMAN: You're continuing |
| 25 | | with your case for this ballot? |
| | | |

MR. BROOKS: 1 Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: Oh, excuse me. 2 Commission question. 3 Yeah. 4 MR. KUZMAN: Could somebody sign that on Sunday and bring 5 Q it in on Monday? 6 It's -- it -- here, you look at --7 Α The guestion is real clear. If --8 Q You look at the card and you tell me it 9 Α wasn't folded and mailed in. 10 I'm -- I'm not a mail expert. I'm asking a 11 0 12 question. Can somebody sign this form, date it on 13 Sunday and bring it in on Monday? 14 "Yes" or "No" answer. 15 T don't know. 16 A So the date is insignificant. They could 17 Q have signed that that day and brought it in 18 19 the next day. We don't work on Sundays. 20 Α I understand you don't work on Sundays, but 21 Q could I print this form off today and bring 22 it to your office tomorrow even though it's 23 dated that day and it's still valid? 24 25 Α Could you?

| _ | | |
|-----|---|---|
| 1 | Q | Yes. |
| 2 | А | I assume. |
| 3 | Q | Okay. |
| 4 | | MR. CHAIRMAN: Do you want this |
| 5 | | admitted into evidence, by the way? |
| 6 | | MR. BROOKS: We'll, it's the |
| 7 | | original card |
| 8 | | THE WITNESS: Yep. |
| 9 | | MR. BROOKS: and I assume they |
| 10. | | need it back, but |
| 11 | | MR. CHAIRMAN: Do we have a copy |
| 12 | | of it? |
| 13 | | MR. BROOKS: I think you I |
| 14 | | think it's fair enough for you guys to look |
| 15 | | at it, but it's up to you whether they need |
| 16 | | the original card back. |
| 17 | | MR. KUZMAN: Make a photocopy. |
| 18 | | MR. BROOKS: Well, that's the |
| 19 | | photocopy is actually |
| 20 | | MR. KUZMAN: Is it? |
| 21 | | MR. BROOKS: in the materials, |
| 22 | | I believe, isn't it? I have one. |
| 23 | | MR. BROWN: Exhibit 28D. |
| 24 | | MR. BROOKS: It's got a little |
| 25 | | circle at the bottom. |
| | 1 | |

MR. SKOLNIK: Exhibit 28D is in 1 2 the materials. 3 MR. DURNIL: Why -- why are you making the argument about 4 Q not being there on Sunday? 5 6 Α I'm going by the date that the voter signed the card. 7 But did the voter have --8 It was brought up about it being by mail, so 9 Α I'm -- I'm assuming it was by mail because of 10 the date it was signed and there's a crease 11 that shows it's been folded, because we do 12 not work on Sundays. 13 So your point is that it was mailed in? 14 0 That's the point I'm making. 15 Α MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Any more 16 17 questions? Hearing none. 18 Connie, thank you very much. 19 MR. BROOKS: Actually, could I 2.0 21 ask one follow-up question. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. 22 23 MR. BROOKS: Connie -- Connie, was it -- was there a 24 contemporaneous determination when it came 25

in, obviously, that it was by mail? 1 Say that again. 2 Α When -- when it came in, did somebody make 3 0 that determination, that it was by mail, that 4 is, at the time it came in as opposed to 5 later? 6 I don't think so. 7 Α 0 Okay. 8 MR. BROOKS: I don't have any 9 more questions. 10 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. 11 Thank you. Step down, ma'am. 12 MR. BROOKS: So if it is by mail, 13 which the evidence seems to support, then 14 he's required to provide something with an 15 address on it; furthermore, if you look at 16 these alleged -- we looked at -- we just 17 looked at some utility bills; we looked at 18 some things. It's not very hard to bring 19 something in with an address, and he knew at 20 21 the time he voted provisional that that was why he had to come in with something for an 22 23 address. Secondly, if you look at the -- there's 24 25 a -- ours is stapled -- there's a couple of

-- 28A -- I've got three things stapled 1 2 together, which is the voter registration acknowledgement notice. 3 (Off the record - Counsel looking 4 for exhibit) 5 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Got it. 6 Okay. Mr. Brooks. 7 MR. BROOKS: 8 Ms. -- Ms. Kirk, when a registration comes 9 in, does your -- does the Local Election 10 Board send --11 MR. CHAIRMAN: I just want to 12 remind you that you're still under oath, 13 Ms. Kirk. Thank you. 14 -- send out acknowledgement notices to 15 0 voters? 16 Is that the normal thing to do? 17 Α Yeah. 18 Q Yes. 19 Α Is that your normally way of doing it? 20 Q Yes. And I think if the gentleman or 21 Α whoever, the voter would have come into the 22 office and hand delivered one, they would 23 have asked for some type of identification; 24 otherwise, it would not have shown on the 25

poll book that he needed to show address. 1 That's the key there. Whether he walked it 2 in, it was mailed in, whatever, if -- if he 3 did not produce that, then that's why it's 4 triggered so that they know at the polls when 5 he comes in they are to ask for something 6 with an address, which is your driver's 7 license or a utility bill or whatever. 8 Apparently that did not happen. 9 But is your normal procedure then -- if 10 they've mailed it in, for example, you would 11 then mail the voter an acknowledgement card 12 saying we've got your registration and you're 13 14 registered? 15 ·Α Yes. I'm going to show you what -- it's two pages 16 -- three pages, but the first one is dated --17 has a postmarked -- or the post -- postage 18 meter mark of October 6th and ask what was 19 the result when you sent Mr. Knowling his 20 voter acknowledgement card? 21 Well, I got it back in the mail. It says Α 22 return to sender. Is that right? 23 have my glasses on. Return to sender. 24 don't have my glasses on. 25

| 1 | Q | Return to sender. Left no address. Unable |
|-----|---|---|
| 2 | | to forward. Return to sender. |
| 3 | | Okay? So this one came back. |
| 4 | А | Yes. |
| 5 | Q | And then do you recall trying again to send |
| 6 | | him something later post something |
| 7 | | postmarked October 15th? What would you have |
| 8 | | sent at that point? |
| 9 | А | Yes. By statute once you get one back in the |
| 10 | | mail and you give it another try and you send |
| 11 | | another card back out to be sure that it |
| 12 | | wasn't maybe an error at the post office that |
| 13 | | he that this voter did not receive it the |
| 14 | | first time. The second one also came back as |
| 15 | | non-deliverable. |
| 16 | Q | Okay. So you sent two two |
| 17 | | acknowledgements per statutes and both came |
| 18 | | back. |
| 19 | A | Yes, sir. That's why the board voted not to |
| 20 | | count it, because he did not meet the |
| 21. | | requirements set forth by statute that he was |
| 22 | | to show address, proof of address, and |
| 23 | | obviously a passport does not demonstrate |
| 24 | · | that. |
| 25 | | MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chairman, I |

would call your attention to Indiana Code 3-7-33-5.

2.0

2.4

Quick, Brad, what page is that on?

MS. BARNES: 133.

MR. BROOKS: 133?

Whoa, you are slowing down.

MS. BARNES: It's late.

Q And this -- this section essentially tells you that you've got to make a determination of eligibility when you get this -- these applications and requires notice to the person who has submitted a voter registration application.

If you look in C3A, it says acceptance provided if the applicant is registered to vote under the resident's address when the applicant receives notice; however, an applicant is presumed to have received the notice unless the notice is returned to the —— by the United States Postal Service due to an unknown or insufficient address and received by the county voter registration office not later than seven days after the notice is mailed to the applicant.

You go further down in the subsection:

If the notice is returned by the United States Postal Service due to an unknown or insufficient address, the county voter registration office shall determine that the applicant is ineligible and deny the application.

So at the point -- if he mailed it in, which appears to be the case, and didn't provide anything with an address on it, he can't vote, which he didn't. If you send out the notices and they come back, the registration is invalid, which is, of course, a good reason to -- for the Local Election Board not to allow the voting.

Now, in addition to that, we presented some additional evidence. No additional evidence other than what we just talked about is necessary to determine that this provisional should not have been opened. But this -- this individual is a campaign operative. You'll notice that his checks are paid for by the Democrat State Committee and the Victory Commission, whatever -- whatever it is. We -- we provided some information off the internet, off of Mr. -- off this

particular voter's own website or linked in or -- if I have the right term. But in any event, he moves every year. He was, in the prior three years, in Washington, Louisiana and North Carolina in some different form or fashion. He did not have one of these documents we'll show you from BMV. He did not get an Indiana driver's license. you'll see the address -- or the affidavit from the manager of the complex that he was The key here is that he was -- never had a lease, never been a tenant. Consistent with affidavit of the roommate, he had authorization to stay there on a limited and temporary basis only. Interestingly enough, what Mr. Brown doesn't tell you about this particular roommate is -- I -- I don't think he -- he said it, but he couldn't say he was his current roommate, because at the Election Board he testified that as of November 12th, he had already moved to Portland, Oregon, consistent his past pattern.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what we would submit is this additional information provides -- provided the Local Election Board with some additional

support for the fact that -- that this probably was not a good registration. He -- he was exactly what -- what he appeared to be and -- and led everybody else to believe. He's in to do a campaign and out, and that -- that does not satisfy the permanent residency intent that's required.

But while Mr. Brown describes this as a residency issue, you don't really have to give to this residency/unresidency thing -if you conclude that he mailed it in and he didn't provide the proper -- he came in with a passport, no address, you don't have to worry about residency. And we know for a fact that he got the acknowledgements back and, therefore, his -- his registration was invalid as a matter of law by the time that Mr. Knowling -- sorry -- this voter was ruled upon under provisional. And if there was any -- I mean, he had a chance to come in and provide just something with an address on it. It's not hard to do if you really live someplace. And he failed to do so, so we would request that the Commission uphold the Vanderburgh Local County Board and not open

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

| 1 | this for provisional. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Questions by |
| 3 | Commissioners. |
| 4 | MR. KUZMAN: I do. |
| 5 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kuzman. |
| 6 | MR. KUZMAN: Mr. Brooks, I |
| 7 | Mr. Brooks, I looked at the I'm looking at |
| 8 | the statute and you cited 3-7-33-5 3A, |
| 9 | correct? |
| 10 | MR. BROOKS: Did I just cite |
| 11 | that? |
| 12 | MS. BARNES: C3. |
| 13 | MR. KUZMAN: I'm sorry. C C3. |
| 14 | C3. Is that right? |
| 15 | MR. BROOKS: C3A I cited, yes. |
| 16 | MR. KUZMAN: Okay. And it reads: |
| 17 | An applicant is presumed to have notice and |
| 18 | received the notice unless the notice is |
| 19 | returned by the United States Post Postal |
| 20 | Service due to an unknown or sufficient |
| 21 | address. |
| 22 | MR. BROOKS: Insufficient. |
| 23 | MR. KUZMAN: Insufficient. And |
| 24 | on the stamps and I understand there's a |
| 25 | bunch of stamps they could use they used |
| | |

moved and left no address. Moved, left no 1 Unable to forward. 2 address. 3 That's -- is that the same as unknown or insufficient that the statute calls for? 4 5 MR. BROOKS: It's certainly an insufficient address for this voter. 6 MR. KUZMAN: But is that the -is the specific stamp -- is there supposed to 8 9 be a specific stamp used, the post office uses rather than this one? Could -- because 10 11 it could -- let me ask this question: Could it be because his name wasn't on the mailbox 12 13 that stamp could be put on there? MR. BROOKS: I assume that people 14 who move in have -- generally fill out 15 change-of-address forms, and if you're 16 17 delivering mail to a place where somebody is actually residing on any permanent basis, 18 , 19 you'll see magazines, bills, et cetera, et cetera, so I don't know the technical --20 21 MR. KUZMAN: So there's not a 22 strict --23 MR. BROOKS: I don't technically know the answer to your question in terms of 24 25 what causes them to do this, but obviously

they've made a formal determination and 1 obviously this is an insufficient address for 2 this particular voter as far as the postal 3 service is concerned. 4 5 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. KUZMAN: Okav. 6 7 MR. CHAIRMAN: Other questions. I have one. 8 Just so I understand, these 9 acknowledgment forms are also -- it isn't in 10 the envelope, but what was in here in terms 11 of an acknowledgement form, that's only done 12 with mail-ins or is that done with all voter 13 registrations, the in-person ones, the 14 15 counter ones? MR. BROOKS: I don't -- I don't 16 17 have the answer to that. MS. KIRK: All. 18 MR. CHAIRMAN: Susan. All --19 it's done with all? Okay. Thank you. 20 21 Motions. MR. DURNIL: I move we uphold the 22 23 vote of the County Election Board. MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. 24 Discussion. 25

| - | |
|----|--|
| 1 | Hearing none. |
| 2 | All in favor? |
| 3 | MR. DURNIL: Aye. |
| 4 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Aye. |
| 5 | Opposed? |
| 6 | MR. KUZMAN: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. |
| 8 | Thank you. |
| 9 | Anything else, Mr. Brown? |
| 10 | MR. BROWN: No. No further |
| 11 | disputes or disputes withdrawn. |
| 12 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Brooks, |
| 13 | anything? |
| 14 | MR. BROOKS: No. |
| 15 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Skolnik. |
| 16 | MR. SKOLNIK: In Perry 10? |
| 17 | MR. BROOKS: No. We're |
| 18 | withdrawing everything we had. |
| 19 | MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, |
| 20 | seeing that there's there are no other |
| 21 | disputes or objections in Perry Number 10, |
| 22 | the tally reads 87 for Mr. Deig, 96 for |
| 23 | Ms. McNamara and four no votes. |
| 24 | MR. DURNIL: I move we accept the |
| 25 | tally as final. |

| - | |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. |
| 2 | All in favor? |
| 3 | MR. DURNIL: Aye. |
| 4 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Aye. |
| 5 | All opposed? |
| 6 | MR. KUZMAN: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries two |
| 8 | to one. |
| 9 | Mr. Skolnik. |
| 10 | MR. SKOLNIK: Thank you, |
| 11 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 12 | There are still I note that there |
| 13 | were still two precincts, Perry 1 and Perry |
| 14 | 6, that I I know were left open in in |
| 15 | Vanderburgh, but we're ready to proceed. At |
| 16 | this time we would now go next to consider |
| 17 | the two precincts in Gibson County. |
| 18 | MR. CHAIRMAN: So that last |
| 19 | precinct was Union 1 in Vanderburgh? |
| 20 | MR. SKOLNIK: Union 1 has already |
| 21 | been that was already approved when we |
| 22 | went through the |
| 23 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. |
| 24 | MR. SKOLNIK: precincts |
| 25 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Gibson County. |
| | 1 |

| MR. SKOLNIK: that were not |
|--|
| disputed. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| MR. SKOLNIK: Gibson County. |
| Wabash 1, the entire precinct has been |
| disputed. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Brown. |
| MR. SKOLNIK: Do you want me to |
| read the well, we can just proceed. |
| MR. BROWN: We withdraw all |
| disputes in Wabash 1. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| Mr. Brooks. |
| MR. BROOKS: If they're withdrawn |
| from Wabash 1, we withdraw. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Skolnik. |
| Thank you. |
| MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, the |
| tally in Wabash 1 should read five ballots |
| tallied for Mr. Deig, five ballots tallied |
| for Ms. McNamara. |
| MR. DURNIL: I move we accept the |
| tally. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. |
| All in all in favor? |
| |

MR. DURNIL: Aye. 1 MR. CHAIRMAN: 2 Aye. Opposed. 3 Motion -- motion carries unanimously. 4 Mr. Skolnik. 5 MR. SKOLNIK: Thank you, 6 Mr. Chairman. 7 Next in Gibson County is Montgomery 2. 8 The entire precinct has been disputed. 9 MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Brown. 10 MR. BROWN: Yes. Because the 11 entire precinct was disputed, Mr. Chairman, 12 these exhibits are not individually 13 identified. I'm happy to -- I believe -- am 14 I wrong the State Board of Accounts -- sorry. 1.5 I believe the State Board of Accounts will --16 I can show them what I'm looking to have 17 distributed if you like and make sure that 18 you have the correct exhibits. That way --19 MR. CHAIRMAN: Let's just figure 20 it out right now. It's the last precinct. 21 MR. BROWN: Okay. There should 22 be an exhibit with the envelopes, spoiled 23 ballots and a ballot attached and also an 24 exhibit rejected absentees with two ballots 25

| r | |
|----|--|
| 1 | attached. And we also need to direct your |
| 2 | attention to and I will get the page of |
| 3 | the poll book to page 61 of 69 in the poll |
| 4 | book. |
| 5 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Page 61 and 69 |
| 6 | MR. BROWN: Just 61. I'm sorry. |
| 7 | Just 61. |
| 8 | MR. CHAIRMAN: of the poll |
| 9 | book. |
| 10 | (Off the record - Gathering |
| 11 | exhibits) |
| 12 | MR. CHAIRMAN: I think Counsel |
| 13 | has witnesses ready to leave, so I just want |
| 14 | to make sure you guys don't need them any |
| 15 | more. |
| 16 | (Off the record - All witnesses |
| 17 | exiting the hearing) |
| 18 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're |
| 19 | ready. |
| 20 | MR. BROWN: Thank you, |
| 21 | Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. |
| 22 | The rejected absentees, which there are |
| 23 | two ballots, these were in this is an |
| 24 | envelope and there's nothing on the ballot, |
| 25 | on the face of the ballot that appears to be |
| | |

-- to be defective. There's no void; there's no rejected; there's no counted, not counted. And the remaining ballots in the precinct, we can go through them, if you like, but there's no original or remake notation as required by statute. We believe on the face of these ballots there's simply nothing wrong but for an envelope with no explanation. I mean, it -- it says rejected absentees, but there's no absentee materials. We have no reason to know that these ballots shouldn't have been remade and counted, and if they were, they weren't counted, and we believe -- simply we 13 believe that there's -- these votes should 14 count. 15

> MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay.

Counsel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BROOKS: Yes. With respect to the rejected absentees, two things. is, on the last page of the poll book, there's a notation from the workers that they were spoiled and revoted, two of them were, so I'm not positive whether they used the right terminology. But two ballots were spoiled and revoted, rejected and spoiled,

but if -- but I would suggest to you if you look at these ballots, you can see why they were rejected.

Number one, on the first one, they've marked, both the Democrat and the Republican party, which would have been overvote or a mistake and they're starting to scratch it out, so the natural thing to do would be say, hey, let's just remake the thing and not -- not worry about it. So that's apparently one.

And in this particular county and because of this particular type of ballot, they use a felt pen to connect these -- this arrow thing. If you'll look on the US House of Representatives Eighth Congressional District, you'll see -- and they had a lot of bleed-through problems with these ballots in this county. And this you can see, if you turn it over, I think it would appear -- there's not a bleed through. You've got a partial mark between these arrows and this, which would have been an overvote, and if it showed to be an overvote, a person would be allowed to say, oh, sorry. I don't know

where that mark came from here, so I did -so there are reasons to remake these two,
because there's a flaw on them that you can
see that somebody might want to remake their
ballot for.

On the spoiled one, I would suggest that -- I don't know. I mean, I would agree with Mr. Brown, but I don't see any particular flaw in the ballot, but in order to -- you don't have to have a flaw. I mean, for example, on this ballot, it would seem to me that you get through -- there's no straight party vote, so now you're picking, and then you get to one and then you look at it again and you want to change your mind. And it says if you make any mistakes, you can do whatever you -- or you change your mind, you just -- you just ask for a new ballot. It's a not harm/no foul. It's -- it's -it's fairly clear to me, at least at this late hour after a very long day, that when they realized they had voted for Mr. Deig realized that was a serious error and needed a new ballot. Who knows how they voted, but -- so any --

1

2

3

4

5

| 1 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. BROOKS: in any event, you |
| 3 | don't have to have a defective ballot to get |
| 4 | a revote. If a voter asks for it, they get |
| 5 | one. You don't have to have one. So |
| 6 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| 7 | MR. BROOKS: there's really |
| 8 | not been any serious reason to |
| 9 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Questions from |
| 10 | Commissioners. |
| 11 | MR. DURNIL: Do we know what |
| 12 | ballot we're looking at? |
| 13 | MR. BROOKS: They're the ones |
| 14 | attached to there's a big spoiled and a |
| 15 | big rejected. |
| 16 | MR. SKOLNIK: Well, we were just |
| 17 | handed a big stack of documents. |
| 18 | MR. DURNIL: We've got a stack of |
| 19 | those things and it says they're spoiled |
| 20 | ballots. I don't know. All these are |
| 21 | spoiled? |
| 22 | (Off the record - Discussion) |
| 23 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Any other |
| 24 | questions by Commissioners? |
| 25 | MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman, if I |
| | |

might quickly --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHAIRMAN: Very briefly.

MR. BROWN: This Commission has ruled consistently all day that a mistake of a poll worker should not invalidate the vote of an otherwise eligible voter. We have no evidence these ballots were remade. In fact, I disagree that there was even -- especially on the spoiled, but on all three we already know from the back of the poll book they labeled one spoiled and then apparently rejected is what Mr. Brooks is saying, so we know there was a mistake already. And at the risk of disenfranchising these three voters, I would ask for consistency in the Commission's rulings that we not disenfranchise these three voters due to a mistake of the poll workers. Thank you.

MR. BROOKS: I'm happy to see,
Mr. Chairman, that we're finally on the
Democrat side trying to enfranchise voters.
It's very encouraging. But the problem with
that is, that, A, they've told -- the -- the
board has told you there were two remade, so
there were two, whether they call them

| 1 | spoiled or whatever; we know we know that. |
|----|---|
| 2 | And this whole idea of disenfranchising the |
| 3 | ballot and the spoiled one, how about the |
| 4 | idea that it was remade like it should have |
| 5 | been and now because it's it's apparently |
| 6 | a Deig vote, Mr. Brown would like to have him |
| 7 | vote twice. I mean, what's supposed to |
| 8 | happen, it goes in the spoiled and they're |
| 9 | supposed to remake another ballot |
| 10 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. |
| 11 | MR. BROOKS: and so now he |
| 12 | wants |
| 13 | MR. CHAIRMAN. Got it. Got it. |
| 14 | Got it. Got it. |
| 15 | MR. BROOKS: wants it voted |
| 16 | twice. |
| 17 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Questions from |
| 18 | Commissioners. |
| 19 | Hearing none. |
| 20 | Discussion or motions. |
| 21 | MR. DURNIL: Since the Election |
| 22 | Board told us that they they remade two |
| 23 | ballots and revoted them, then we have to |
| 24 | take take their word for that and not |
| 25 | count these two ballots, not to revote these |

| 1 | two ballots. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | MR. CHAIRMAN: A motion has been |
| 3 | made. |
| 4 | I second. |
| 5 | Discussion. |
| 6 | MR. KUZMAN: Yes, for |
| . 7 | clarification. |
| 8 | There are are there three spoiled |
| 9 | ballots but they only account for two of them |
| 10 | on their on the |
| 11 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Are there three or |
| 12 | two ballots you're talking about? |
| 13 | MR. BROWN: There's two two |
| 14 | labeled rejected, one labeled spoiled and |
| 15 | only a notation for two ballots in the back |
| 16 | of the poll book. |
| 17 | MR. DURNIL: And two were |
| 18 | revoted. |
| 19 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Which two were |
| 20 | revoted? |
| 21 | Mr. Brooks. |
| 22 | MR. BROOKS: We have two things |
| 23 | going on here. One is, they put them in |
| 24 | these envelopes which are labeled, which is |
| 25 | which is what you're supposed to do when |

you remade a ballot. So you've got a 1 notation for two of them, but all three are 2 3 accounted for by being in an envelope that means that particular ballot shouldn't be 5 voted and presumably was voted already. MR. CHAIRMAN: Ouestions from 6 Commissioners. 7 Discussion. 8 9 MR. KUZMAN: I have a question maybe for the attorneys. 10 When you spoil a ballot, are you 11 supposed to do anything more than put it in 12 -- in an envelope or anything, cross them 13 out, crinkle them up? I don't know. 14 MR. CHAIRMAN: Counsel. 15 MR. KING: Mr. Chairman and 16 members of the Commission, there's a 17 requirement which I'll give you the citation 18 for --19 20 MR. KUZMAN: Okay. MR. KING: -- shortly that 21 22 requires documentation on the -- on the ballot that it's been spoiled. 23 MR. CHAIRMAN: Counsel. 24 MS. BARNES: Correct. I would 25

| 1 | agree first time tonight. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Good. |
| 3 | MR. KUZMAN: For that for that |
| 4 | reason there's no notification on any of |
| 5 | these ballots that they're spoiled and, plus, |
| 6 | there is two but we have three. |
| 7 | MR. CHAIRMAN: You're saying |
| 8 | there's three in the envelope? |
| 9 | MR. KUZMAN: Well, there's |
| 10 | there's on the back of the Mr. Brooks |
| 11 | put it on the back of the voter poll book. |
| 12 | There are two absentee ballots spoiled and |
| 13 | revoted, but we have three that were spoiled |
| 14 | or revoted. I don't know why where is the |
| 15 | other one accounted for or not. I'm I'm |
| 16 | lost. |
| 17 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. |
| 18 | Any other questions or comments? |
| 19 | No other questions. |
| 20 | MR. KUZMAN: And, plus, I'm |
| 21 | not |
| 22 | MR. CHAIRMAN: They're spoiled |
| 23 | ballots. |
| 24 | MS. BARNES: There's a difference |
| 25 | between spoiled and rejected. |
| | |

MR. KUZMAN: Okay. What is the 1 difference between rejected and spoiled, if 2 vou don't mind me --3 MR. CHAIRMAN: Counsel. 4 MS. BARNES: Thank you, 5 Mr. Chairman. 6 Mr. King and I addressed the state law 7 for spoiled ballots requires a poll worker to 8 mark on the ballot that it has been spoiled, 9 but for the rejected ballots, the statute is 10 on page 303 and it goes over to page 304, and 11 that says: If an inspector rejects a ballot, 12 the designation and the reason why the ballot 13 is rejected is to be noted on the ballot or 14 the absentee envelope if it's an absentee 15 ballot. And, again, these were placed in 16 envelopes. We don't know if the County 17 Election Board placed them in envelopes after 18 the election. We don't know if poll workers 19 placed them in these envelopes. 20 envelopes are -- are, again, something 21 perhaps that the county came up with. 22 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. 23 Counsel, Mr. King, do you have anything 24 to add to that? 25

MR. KING: Yes, Mr. --1 2 Mr. Chairman. Indiana Code 3-11-1118 on page 314 sets 3 forth for a rule with regard to paper 4 ballots, which I believe is applicable to 5 optical-scanned ballots as well. I'll double 6 check that. At the bottom of page 314, it 7 reads: A voter who by accident or mistake 8 spoils, defaces or mutilates the voter's 9 ballot may, by returning the ballot to the 10 poll clerks and proving that it was not 11 intentionally spoiled, receive another 12 ballot. The poll clerks make a -- the poll 13 clerks shall make a record of the fact on the 14 poll list and the ballot shall then be marked 15 void by the Precinct Election Board in the 16 presence of the voter. 17 MR. CHAIRMAN: And return it with 18 the other election materials. 19 MR. KING: And return it with the 20 other election materials. 2.1 MR. CHAIRMAN: And if -- and that 22 didn't occur here. 23 MR. KING: Part of it did not 24 occur here according to at least the exhibit 25

| 2 indicates it was noted in the poll book | . • |
|--|--------|
| It's not clear that the the poll cle | rks |
| acted in accordance with the requirement | ts of |
| 5 this section by marking the ballot void | . • |
| 6 MR. CHAIRMAN: What would be | e the |
| 7 remedy in such a case where the ballot | wasn't |
| 8 marked void? Is there anything to do w | ith |
| 9 that or accept it | |
| MR. KING: I think | |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: as a mista | ake |
| or what? | |
| MR. KING: I think the argum | ment |
| would be that, one, it would be a failu | re to |
| comply with the requirements of the sta | itute, |
| but that would be an error by the preci | nct |
| election official as opposed to the vot | er. |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. | |
| Counsel. Counsel, do you have any | ything |
| to add to that? | |
| MS. BARNES: No. Once agai | n I |
| 22 would agree. | |
| | |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. | |
| MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Commission members, discussion. | |

| 1 | MR. DURNIL: If the Election |
|----|--|
| 2 | Board indicated that these ballots have been |
| 3 | revoted, we would be counting them twice if |
| 4 | we count them, so I would say we honor the |
| 5 | decision of the County Election Board. |
| 6 | MR. CHAIRMAN: All in favor say, |
| 7 | "Aye." |
| 8 | MR. DURNIL: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Aye. |
| 10 | MR. CHAIRMAN: All opposed? |
| 11 | MR. BROWN: Aye. |
| 12 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries two |
| 13 | to one. |
| 14 | Mr. Brown. |
| 15 | MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman, |
| 16 | Mr. Durnil's motion was was in regard to |
| 17 | two ballots. Was there a motion on the |
| 18 | third? |
| 19 | MR. DURNIL: The rejected ballot? |
| 20 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Rejected, yeah. |
| 21 | MR. DURNIL: I would I would |
| 22 | move that we reject that. If we don't have |
| 23 | it here to look at, I would move that we |
| 24 | honor the County Election Board's decision. |
| 25 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. |

Discussion.

MR. KUZMAN: Do we have it here if it's rejected?

MR. BROWN: We had -- we had two rejected, which Mr. Brooks linked with the back of the poll book. We have one spoiled ballot that I just -- that was -- that's there in front -- I'm sorry. I --

 $\label{eq:MR.CHAIRMAN:} \mbox{$\mbox{$I$ thought it was}$}$ the other way around.

Mr. Brooks.

think they probably just used wrong terminology on the two. Those are in there that were rejected. But the other one, what you're really honoring is the worker at the poll's decision to put that in the spoiled envelope, and one, I think, can assume they did that for a reason and I think we should assume an appropriate reason, and, again, the risk, as Mr. Durnil pointed out, is that you're going to let somebody vote twice. I mean, you have to assume the -- the poll worker didn't put it in there for any reason, any valid reason and we think the assumption

```
should be that it wasn't for a valid reason.
1
                       MR. CHAIRMAN: Motions.
2
                       MR. DURNIL: I move we reject it.
3
                       MR. CHAIRMAN: Second.
4
                 Discussion.
5
                 Hearing none.
6
                 All in favor of Mr. Durnil's motion?
7
                       MR. DURNIL: Aye.
8
                       MR. CHAIRMAN: Aye.
9
10
                 Opposed?
                        MR. KUZMAN: Aye.
11
                        MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries two
12
            to one.
13
                 Mr. Brown.
14
                        MR. BROWN: Thank you,
15
            Mr. Chairman.
16
                 I would now direct your attention --
17
            this is going to be my last dispute.
18
                        MR. SKOLNIK: I'm sorry. Is
19
            there another -- do you have another --
20
                        MR. BROWN: Yes.
21
                        MR. SKOLNIK: -- dispute with
22
            this precinct?
23
                        MR. BROWN: Yes.
24
                        MR. SKOLNIK: Okay.
25
```

MR. BROWN: On page 61 of the poll book, there was two voters -- it's two voters with the last names W-A-T-H-E-N and I'll show opposing counsel. We have affidavits that the address listed in the poll book that that is where they reside. They have personal knowledge of the matters. And then they reviewed attached maps per the Posey -- or Gibson County GIS data which shows that these two voters do not reside within House District 76.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Interesting.

MR. BROWN: There's also a map with certification from the election division of the precinct in question in Gibson County, and I'd like to also make that an exhibit.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Why don't you bring them up.

MR. BROWN: The final exhibit is the Gibson County website where you'll see that the surveyor's website is the first page. The second page is, once you click the link on the Gibson County GIS, it takes you to a beacon which has the contract for their GIS, which is the -- which -- from which the

documents show the maps where the voters are 1 not located in the district. 2 MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, I've 3 just been handed exhibits P19 and P20. 4 MR. BROWN: Mr. Chairman, there 5 are smaller maps attached to the affidavits 6 which will allow you to locate on the larger 7 map that above the -- I believe it's 700 8 South is the road at the top -- and I can 9 come point out to the map for the Commission 10 where it corresponds -- the smaller GIS 11 beacon map. 12 As you go to the beacon GIS website, 13 you'll see in those smaller attached maps, 14 they actually list the precincts in which 1.5 you're supposed to reside. 16 And the issue is that these two voters 17 did cast votes in House District 76 but do 18 not reside in House District 76, and so we 19 would urge that the Recount Commission grant 20 a special election in this precinct to 21 determine which candidate rightfully should 22 be elected to office. Thank you. 23 Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: 24 Counsel. 25

MR. BROOKS: Well, this is a 1 little surprise to us, Mr. Chairman. 2 submit to you that if they are in Montgomery 3 2, which is where they're registered and 4 Montgomery 2 is in House District 76, which 5 it is, that the affidavits from these people 6 citing that they don't -- they don't live in 7 House District 76 is insufficient to overcome 8 the fact that they're obviously registered in 9 Montgomery 2, and Montgomery 2 is in -- in 10 the precinct, in House District 76. 11 it's -- it's always interesting to me that --12 I mean, especially right before we head into 13 the Charlie White thing -- I assume you guys 14 will be turning these two voters over for 15 voter fraud to the special prosecutor because 16 they've now voted fraudulently and they're 17 not proud enough to come in here with an 18 affidavit saying they did. But at the end of 19 the day, I think you have registered voters 20 Montgomery 2 was in and now we're showing up 21 with maps and affidavits. These are 22 certainly not enough -- they -- they would 23 need, I think, somebody from -- with a little 24 more authoritative knowledge than -- than 25

these people and what's in and out of the precinct before we start again going into the computer and trying to figure out who they voted for and making adjustments.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay.

Ouestions from Commissioners.

2.4

MR. SKOLNIK: Before we have that -- pardon the interruption -- Exhibits P21 through 24 have been submitted by Mr. Brown.

MR. BROOKS: I mean, I would -- I mean, we've -- we've had missing ballots; we've had at least several that we knew were votes for McNamara there disappeared and now we're going to -- this is just another little bit of bad luck they're in. If they aren't in, they've already voted; they've already been counted for whatever. People -- we don't know whose ballots were -- were missing. They've been disenfranchised in the process by accident and there's not enough authority to override this.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Counsel for -- for the Commission, are there any points of law that either of you would like to bring up in this regard?

1 MR. KING: Mr. Chairman, I'll --2 I'll repeat the argument that, again, a 3 special election is beyond the authority of the Commission to grant in a recount 4 5 proceeding. If it's permissible, I'd also like to 6 state for the record the Petitioner has 7 8 represented that the maps and material were certified by the Election Division. I do not 9 10 dispute the accuracy of the material, but I want to make it clear that neither the 1.1 Democrat nor Republican and co-director to 12 the Election Division have, to my knowledge, 13 certified the materials submitted. 14 15 MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Counsel, do you have anything to add? 16 17 MS. BARNES: No. I have nothing 18 to add. MR. CHAIRMAN: Commissioners, 19 20 questions, motions, discussion. MR. DURNIL: I would move we deny 21 22 the request for a special election. MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. 23 Discussion. 24 25 Hearing none.

| 1 | All in favor? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. DURNIL: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Aye. |
| 4 | Opposed? |
| 5 | MR. KUZMAN: Aye. |
| 6 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries two |
| 7 | to one. |
| 8 | Mr. Brown. |
| 9 | MR. BROWN: No further disputes |
| 10 | in this precinct. Thank you. |
| 11 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Brooks, |
| 12 | anything for this precinct? Would you like |
| 13 | to withdraw anything? |
| 14 | MR. BROOKS: Everything we had is |
| 15 | withdrawn. |
| 16 | MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Skolnik. |
| 17 | MR. BROOKS: Well, in this |
| 18 | precinct? |
| 19 | MR. CHAIRMAN: In this precinct. |
| 20 | MR. BROOKS: Yeah. |
| 21 | MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, the |
| 22 | tally in Montgomery 2 in Gibson County |
| 23 | reflects 68 votes for Mr. Deig, 91 for |
| 24 | |
| 25 | ballots tallied by the State Board of |
| | |

Accounts for Mr. Deig and there were two no 1 votes. 2 MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion. 3 MR. DURNIL: I move we accept the 4 tally as final. 5 MR. CHAIRMAN: Second. 6 All in favor? 7 MR. DURNIL: Aye. 8 MR. CHAIRMAN: Aye. 9 Opposed? 10 MR. KUZMAN: Aye. 11 MR. CHAIRMAN: Motion carries two 12 to one. 13 Mr. Skolnik. 14 MR. SKOLNIK: Mr. Chairman, 15 normally at this point we would proceed to 16 allow the Respondent to present its case in 17 chief, and I will note for the record there 1.8 are two precincts in which Respondent 19 reserved the right to present evidence on any 20 disputed ballots or -- or other disputed 21 matters. It's Robb Number 1 within Posey 22 County and Ward 6, precinct 13 within 23 Vanderburgh County. I will note, however, 24 that -- and I obviously defer to the 25